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#### Abstract

Measurements of the nomalized radar cross section (NRCS) at 5.3 GHz ( $C$ band) of the sea surface as a function of wind speed and direction are presented The data were obtauned by a coherent scatterometer mounted on a small two-engine airplane performing carcle flights over the Atlantic. Our data show that the wind speed exponent at 53 GHz is typically $20 \%$ smaller than at 139 GHz ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathbf{u}}$ band) Furthermore, the upwind/crosswnad ratio of the NRCSs at $C$ band is typically $20 \%$ smaller, and the upwind/downwind ratuo typically $30 \%$ smaller than at $\boldsymbol{K}_{«}$ band


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Radar backscattering from the ocean surface is determined primarily by the surface roughness. The dominant factor determining the sea surface roughness is the local wind. This fact suggests that it is possible to obtain windfields over the ocean by measuring the normalized radar cross section (NRCS) [Moore and Fung, 1979, Brown, 1983]. Microwave instruments specifically designed for measuring NRCS's have been flown in space with the aim of obtaining wind information from the world oceans on a quasi-synopstic scale [Grantham et al., 1977; Brown et al., 1982; Jones et al., 1982; Pierson, 1983]. Such nonimaging calibrated microwave instruments are called scatterometers. They usually operate at incidence angles where the backscattering is dominated by Bragg scattering, typically between 20 and 70 degrees [Valenzuela, 1978]. In order to extract wind information from scatterometer data, the functional dependence of the NRCS on local wind and other environmental parameters must be known. This dependence has been extensively studied at 13.9 GHz which was the microwave frequency band employed by the Skylab scatterometer, A 14.6 GHz scatterome-

[^0]ter was flown 1978 on the Seasat A satellite which is known as SASS (Seasat A satellite scatterometer). NASA Langley Research Center installed a 13.9 GHz scatterometer on board a C-130 arrcraft (Hercules) and conducted a multiyear measurement program to provide quantitative information on the parametric behavior of the NRCS of the ocean [Jones et al., 1977; Jones and Schroeder, 1978; Schroeder et al., 1984]. Therr technique consisted in performing circle flughts at different bank angles which proved to be a very practicable method of measuring the dependence of the NRCS of the windroughened sea surface on azimuth and incidence angle. These airborne measurements provided the data base for the prefight Seasat scatterometer NRCS wind conversion algorithm [Schroeder et al., 1982; Jones et al., 1982].

The European Space Agency (ESA) is planning to fly in 1990 the first European remote sensing satellite (ERS-1), which will carry a scatterometer operating at 5.3 GHz ( $C$ band). However, at present the dependence of the $C$ band NRCS on wind speed and direction is not well known. During the 1960s the Naval Research Laboratory (NRL) in Washington, D. C., made airborne radar backscattering measurements over the sea with a pulsed 4 -frequency radar system operating at 8.910 GHz ( $X$ band), 4.455 GHz ( $C$ band), 1.228 GHz ( $L$ band) and 0428 GHz ( $P$ band) [Guinard et al, 1971]. Though these measurements were excellent during that time, they do not provide a reliable basis for optimuzing the design of the ERS-1 $C$ band scatterometer nor for developing an algorithm to extract wind information from the ERS-1 scatterometer data.

In this paper we report about our airborne measurements carried out during the ESA $C$ band scatterometer campagn, This was an experiment co-


Fig. 1 Block diagram of the coherent pulsed 5.3 GHz scatterometer used in the experiment
ordinated by ESA in which four aircraft from Canada, France, Germany and the Netherlands participated each carrying a different $C$ band scatterometer.

Our scatterometer operating at 5.3 GHz at vertical polarization was flown on a small twin-engine propeiler airplane (DO-28). The data reported here were collected in February 1984 over the Atlantic off the French coast near Lorient (Brittany).

In this paper we present first results about the dependence of normalized radar cross sections (NRCS) on the wind vector as measured by our instrument during circle flights. Furthermore, we show some evidence that the upwind-crosswind ratio depends on the angle between the wind and swell direction.

## 2. C BAND SCATTEROMETER

A block diagram of the $C$ band scatterometer is shown in Figure 1. The scatterometer is a coherent system operating at a frequency of 5.3 GHz . It contains 2 phase-locked oscillators with a difference frequency of 40 MHz and an output power of 30 mW . The transmitted signal of 5.3 GHz is amplified by a solid state amplifier (SSA) of normal 2 W output power and then pulsed by pin-diode switches (SW). The antenna is a planar microstrip antenna (VV polarization) of dimension $1.05 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.95 \mathrm{~m}$ with the beam axis squinted electronically 30 degrees downward. The $3-\mathrm{dB}$ one-way half-power beamwidth is 5.2 degrees in elevation and 3.8 degrees in azimuth. The antenna was mounted on the door opening on the left-hand side of the DO-28 without using a radome (Figure 2). The incidence angle could be varied by tilting the antenna. The azimuth angle was fixed such that the anterna looked aft at an angle of 20 degrees (controlled by a goniometer), which provided a Dop-
pler shift induced by the aircraft motion. The backscattered signal is amplified by a low noise amplifier (LNA) and mixed with the 5.260 GHz reference signal of the local oscillator. This intermedjate frequency signal is then beaten down to an audro frequency of 40 MHz . The power of the phase-locked oscillator is montored by coupling a small fraction ( -94 dB ) of the unpulsed transmitting signal into the receiving channel. This internal calibration signal has zero Doppler shift and can thus be separated from the Doppler shifted ocean signal (see Figure 5).

The scatterometer was operated in the beamlimited mode. The duration of the transmitted pulse was $14 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ and the width of the receiving gate was 1.8 $\mu \mathrm{s}$. A long pulse was used such that no reprogramming of the pulse sequence was required when changing the altitude of the aircraft. The time delay between transmission and reception was $1.8 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, which limited the minimum slant range to 420 m . The maximum slant range was 2520 m . The pulse repetition frequency of 38 kHz inclusive the rise time of $1 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ provides a duty cycle of 0.026 ms , which requires a sample and hold device to preserve the output waveform. The data were recorded on an analogue tape-recorder (FM) with a tape speed of 7.5 inches per second corresponding to a bandwidth of 2.5 kHz .

## 3. THE EXPERIMENT

From Feb. 9 to Feb. 29, 1984, seven flight missions were flown out of the arffield of Lorient over the Atlantic in an area approximately 50 km off the coast of Brittany, France. In this part of the Atlantic the water depth is approximately 100 m .

Circle flights were conducted with a constant bank angle of 18 degrees. Given the aircraft speed of 110 knats a circle was completed in approximately 2 min. Ten circles were flown at a given incidence angle. The incidence angle (angle between nadir and the direction of the antenna beam) was set nominally at $18,25,35,45$ and 55 degrees. The flight altitude was 610 m for all flights except for the flights with an incidence angle of 55 degrees where it was 305 m . The total fiight duration of one mission was approxtmately 3 hours. The yaw, pitch and roll (bank) angles of the aircraft were monitored to an accuracy of less than 0.5 degrees.

Simultaneous measurements of the wind speed (at 19.5 m ) the arr and water temperatures, the ornnidirectional wave spectrum and the direction of the swell (measured by ship radar) were performed by


TABLE 1 Environmental Condiuons Encountered During the Flights Over the Allanic Off the Coast of Brittany, France

| Date | Time, UT | Wind Field |  |  |  | Wave Field |  | Temperatures |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} U_{19} \mathrm{~s}, \\ \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & U_{*}, \\ & \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \end{aligned}$ |  | Directuon, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ | $H_{s}$ | DirecLion, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { atr } \\{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}}$ | $T_{\text {watare }}{ }^{{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{C}}$ |
| Feb. 9, 1984 | 1019-1038 | 8.1 | 0268 | 8.4 | 005 | 31 | 300 | 93 | 11 |
|  | 1109-1127 | 75 | 025 | 7.8 | 003 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1135-1155 | 7.1 | 024 | 7.4 | 006 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1200-1211 | 6.8 | 023 | 7.1 | 008 |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 10, 1984 | 0934-0954 | 2.2 | 0082 | 2.5 | 089 | 18 | 310 | 8.8 | 11 |
|  | 0959-1019 | 1.8 | 0068 | 2.1 | 083 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1022-1042 | 18 | 0068 | 2.1 | 090 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1050-1103 | 1.7 | 0.065 | 2.0 | 102 |  |  |  |  |
| Feb 20, 1984 | 1352-1411 | 14.5 | 0516 | 14.45 | 198 | 3.8 | 270 | 11.3 | 11 |
|  | 1428-1445 | 14.8 | 0.53 | 14.75 | 198 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1507-1524 | 16.0 | 0.587 | 1596 | 195 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1545-1558 | 15.0 | 0.573 | 15.66 | 197 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1622-1631 | 16.4 | 0607 | 16.36 | 204 |  |  |  |  |
| Feb 21, 1984 | 1052-1111 | 12.7 | 0447 | 1296 | 278 | 7.1 | 300 | B | 107 |
|  | 1121-1140 | 11.5 | 0394 | 11.76 | 274 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1148-1207 | 12.0 | 0416 | 12.26 | 251 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1219-1239 | 8.4 | 0287 | 8.96 | 217 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1248-1307 | 11.5 | 0394 | 11.76 | 228 |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 22, 1984 | 1041-1059 | 114 | 0389 | 11.65 | 320 | 5.2 | 310 | 83 | 10.8 |
|  | 1108-1127 | 12.4 | 0.433 | 12.64 | 324 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1148-1157 | 12.2 | 0424 | 1245 | 306 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1207-1226 | 11.4 | 0389 | 1165 | 321 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1233-1253 | 11.7 | 0402 | 11.95 | 304 |  |  |  |  |
| Feb 26, 1984 | 1345-1405 | 4.9 | 0.177 | 5.5 | 047 | 1.3 | 2 BO | 4 | 10.5 |
|  | 1401-1420 | 4.9 | 0177 | 5.5 | 035 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1433-1450 | 4.4 | 0161 | 5.0 | 043 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1457-1516 | 3.2 | 0.121 | 3.8 | 047 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1522-1542 | 3.1 | 0118 | 3.7 | 048 |  |  |  |  |
| Feb 28, 1984 | 1006-1022 | 7.1 | 0.241 | 75 | 029 | 1.1 | 290 | 7.2 | 10.5 |
|  | 1044-1055 | 8.3 | 0.279 | 8.7 | 028 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1102-1121 | 7.5 | 0254 | 7.9 | 031 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1131-1152 | 7.6 | 0.257 | 80 | 029 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1158-1219 | 7.7 | 0.260 | 8.1 | 031 |  |  |  |  |

IFREMER (Institut Francais pour la Recherche et L'Exploitation de la Mer, Brest, France) from the French oceanographic research vessel Le Suroit. The environmental conditions encountered during the fight missions are summarized in Table 1. The friction velocity $U_{*}$ and the 19.5 m neutral wind were calculated from the measured wind at a height of 19.5 m , the air and water temperatures, and the humidity of air by applying the formulas given by Large and Pond [1981]

During the flight missions over the Atlantic the water temperature was always higher than the air temperature (up to 6 degrees) except for Feb. 20, where the situation was nearly neutral, which implies that the boundary layer at the air-sea interface was always unstable (see Table 1).

## 4. CALIBRATION

The scatterometer is internally calibrated. This is achieved by coupling a small fraction of the unpulsed transmitted signal into the receiving channel as discussed in section 2 This internal calibration includes in the transmitting channel, the local oscillator and the solid state amplifier, but not the switch of the transmitting channel, the circulator and the antenna In the receiving channel all components are included.

The $C$ band scatterometer was also externally calibrated in flight using an array of four $90-\mathrm{cm}$ corner reflectors (radar cross section, $1776 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) which were placed on the airfield of Quimper (France). The configuration of the corner reflectors is shown in Figure 3. This configuration was chosen in order to increase


Fig. 3. Configuration of the four corner reflectors used in the calibraton flights
the chance of hitting one corner reflector with the antenna beam. Furthermore, this arrangement of corner reflectors allowed us to reconstruct the trace of the beam axis on the ground. The corner reflector overfights were carried out only at low wind speeds ( $U \leq 4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, low air turbulence) such that the fight tracks over the corner reflector could be flown very
accurately (no yaw angle deviation from the flight direction).

Figure 4 shows the time history of the backscattered power originating from the four corner reflectors relative to the level of the internal calibration during one calibration flight. By this measurement, cuts through the antenna pattern at 20 degrees off the $H$ plane are obtained. The trace of the beam axis through the array of corner reflectors can be inferred from the relative levels of the four curves. The level of the internal calibration corresponds to a cross section of about $2815 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. In the specific example shown in Figure 4, the antenna axis has hit the second corner reflector nearly at the center. In this case, the level of the backscattered power of the second corner reflector is maxumum, while the power levels of the two adjacent corner reflectors are nearly equal. Theoretically they should be 0.8 dB lower if the second corner reflector is hit exactly at the center. These measured cuts through antenna pattern agree well with the pattern provided by the manufacturer of the mucrostrip antenna (Ball Aerospace Corporation)

Furthermore, after each flight over sea, calibration fiights were flown over grass lands on the airfield of Quimper, which are distributed targets. This was done in order to intercompare the data sets from the different scatterometers involved in this campaign


Fig. 4. Backscattered power originating from the four comer reflectors as a function of time. In this calibration flight the second corner reflector was hit by the antenna beam approximately at the center


Fig 5. Doppler spectrum of the return signal from the water surface and the inlernal calibration signal The power spectrum is calculated from a total record length of 16 s with 16 degrees of freedom The internal calibration signal has zero Doppler shift

## 5 DATA PROCESSING

The analog recorded FM radar output was sampled with a frequency of 5000 Hz for digital processing at the CDC 173 computer. For each segment of 0.2 s duration a power spectrum was calculated with a frequency resolution of 4.9 Hz . Then 8 spectra
were averaged corresponding to 1.6 s which amounts to an azimuthal resolution of about 5 degrees, since the fight duration of a full circle was 2 min . Figure 5 shows an example of the averaged power spectrum of the radar output signal. It contains the Doppler shifted signal originating from the water surface and the unshifted internal calibration signal. The signal from the ocean surface has a negative Doppler shift because we used a configuration with a slightly aft looking antenna. For obtaining the radar cross section the spectral energy of the Doppler shifted peak was calculated (integration between the 6 dB points) and divided by the spectral energy of the internal calibration peak The scatterometer was absolutely calibrated by flying over corner reflectors of known cross section ( $\sigma_{c}=1776 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ).

During the circle flights over water the attitude of the DO 28 was recorded continuously with an accuracy of 0.5 degrees. Especially the roll angle information is very important for later data processing, since the radar cross section depends strongly on the incidence angle. Figure 6 shows one example of the measured crosswind NRCS as function of incidence angle for the flight on Feb. 22, 1984 (wind speed $10-13 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, T_{\mathrm{air}}-T_{\text {water }}=-2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Such curves were calculated for every flight mission and used for roll angle corrections. All NCRS versus wind speed curves presented in this paper have been corrected for roll and pitch angle variations. The azimuth angle


Fig. 6. Variation of the crosswind NRCS at VV polanzation with incidence angle as measured during the fight on Feb. 27, 1984 (wnd speed, $10-13 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, T_{\text {alr }}-T_{\text {water }}=-2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).



Fig. 7a. Typical example of the azimuthal dependence of the $C$ band NRCS at VV polarization as measured during circle flights The wind speed was $133 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ The wind was blowing in the direction of the long waves Plat $A$ shows the tume series of the measured NRCS together with the antenna look direction relative to true north for 10 circles, and plot $B$ the NRCS averaged other 5 degrees azmuth angle for 10 circle fights The solid line in plot B is a least squares fit to equation (1).
has been calculated from the yaw angle, which was measured continuously on the aircraft by a gyrocompass.

However, we have also processed the data without applying roll angle corrections and by keeping only those data points for which the roll angle deviated by less than 0.5 degrees from its nominal value of 18 degrees. Both methods yield similar results.

## 6. RESULTS

Two typical examples of the dependence of the NRCS on azimuth angle $\phi$ (angle between the antenna look direction and true North) measured under different wind and wave conditions are shown in Figures $7 a, 7 b$ Plot $\mathbf{A}$ shows the time series of the NCRS as measured during 10 circle flights (total record time: 20 min ). The data points shown in plot B represent the NRCS averaged over 5 degrees azi-
muth angle for the ten circle flights. The wind and swell direction is indicated in the plots by arrows.

As a first step for modelling the functional dependence of the measured NCRS on azimuth angle we use the function
$\sigma=a(\theta) U^{x^{(\theta)}\left(1+b_{1}(\theta, U) \cos \phi+b_{2}(\theta, U) \cos 2 \phi\right), ~(1) ~}$
Here $U$ denotes the wind speed at the height of 19.5 m and $a, b_{1}, b_{2}$ and $\gamma$ denote fitting parameters, which depend on incidence angle $\theta$. The upwind/ crosswind ratio $\sigma_{u} / \sigma_{c}$ and the upwind/downwind ratio $\sigma_{u} / \sigma_{d}$ are related to the parameters $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{u}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{c}}}=\frac{1+b_{1}+b_{2}}{1-b_{2}}  \tag{2}\\
& \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{u}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{d}}}=\frac{1+b_{1}+b_{2}}{1-b_{1}+b_{2}} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$



Fig 7b. Same as Figure 7 , but at a wind speed of $7.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. The swell propagates nearly perpendicular to the wind direction

This model function represents a special case of the model of Moore and Fung [1979] and was chosen for its simplicity.

The solid line in Figures 7a, 7b, plot B presents the least squares fit of the measured NRCS to the model curve given by equation (1). In most cases equation (1) fits the measured data quite well. From these least squares fits the wind speed dependence of the NRCS, the upwind/crosswind ratio, and the upwind/downwind ratio of the NRCSs are obtained. Figure 8 shows a plot of the NRCS averaged over all azimuth angles as a function of 19.5 m neutral winds for different incidence angles. The 19.5 m neutral wind was calculated from the measured wind at a height of 19.5 m , the air and sea temperatures and the humidity by applying the formulas given by Large and Pond [1981]. In this logarithmic plot of eq (1) the parameter $\gamma$ ("wind speed exponent") is given by the slope of the curves. $\gamma$ varies from $1.4 \pm 0.2$ at 55 degrees incidence angle to $0.7 \pm 0.4$ at 18 degrees incidence angle. The errors in the wind speed exponents are a consequence of the errors in the NRCS measure-ments, especially at low wind speeds.

In Figure 9 the NRCS averaged over all azimuth
angles is plotted as a function of incidence angle for different wind speeds. These curves give the functional dependence of $\sigma=U^{\gamma}$ on wind speed and incldence angle (see (1)).


Fig $8 \quad C$ band NRCS at VV polarization averaged over all azimuth angles as a function of wind speed for different incidence angles


Fig. 9. C band NRCS at VV polarization averaged over all azimuth angles as a function of incidence angle for different wind speeds. Each symbol represents data points of a specific flighl mission.

The data shown in Figures 8 and 9 are consistent with the assumption that the NRCSs saturate for wind speeds above $10 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. But our data base is too small to draw definite conclusions on this controversal issue [Brown, 1984].

The upwind/crosswind ratio is shown in Figure 10 as a function of wind speed for three different incidence angles ( 35,45 and 55 degrees).


Ftg. 10. Upwind/crosswind ratio of the NRCS's as a function of wind speed for three different meidence angles ( 55,45 and 35 degrees).


Fig. 11. Upwind/crosswind ratio of the NRCS's as a function of madence angle Ior different flight mussions. The wind speeds encountered dunng the missions are given in the figure

The upwind/crosswind ratio of the NCRSs at 55 degrees lies between 3 and 7 dB , and at 35 and 45 degrees between 2 and 5.5 dB . There is a large scatter in the data points. No systematic dependence of the upwind/crosswind ratio on wind speed can be deduced from our data. This is substantiated further by the fact that $\sigma_{u} / \sigma_{c}$ was measured differently up to 2 dB on different days, where the wind speed was the same, but the long wave spectrum and the air-sea temperature difference were dıfferent.


Fig. 12 Upwind/downwind ratuo of the NRCS's as a lunction of wind speed for different incidence angles.


Fig. 13. Upwind/crosswind ratio of the NRCS's as a function of sıgnuficant wave herght $H_{s}$

However, our data seem to show a tendency of an increase of the upwind/crosswind ratio with incldence angle in the range between 18 and 35 degrees as can be seen from Figure 11. The scatter of the data points above 35 degrees allows no statement about the incidence angle dependence of the upwind/crosswind ratıo.

The upwind/downwind ratio of the NRCSs at 35,

45 and 55 degrees incidence angles as a function of wind speed are shown in Figure 12; $\sigma_{u} / \sigma_{d}$ varies between 0 and 2 dB as a function of wind speed. No systematic dependence of $\sigma_{u} / \sigma_{d}$ on wind speed nor incidence angle can be deduced from our data.

The large scatter of the data points seen in Figures 8-12 are certainly not only of statistical origin, but are also caused by variations of other environmental parameters than wind speed and direction. The scatter of the data point could be due in a large part to boundary layer effects in the lower atmosphere and to the underlying long ocean wave field.

A first step in investigating the dependence of the NRCS on the long wave field was done by plotting the upwind/crosswind ratio of the NRCS as a funcLion of significant wave height $H_{\mathrm{s}}$ (Figure 13) and the propagation direction of the long waves relative to the wind direction (Figure 14) for different wind speeds. The long wave field encountered during the experiment over the Atlantic was in most cases not a wind sea but a swell. No dependence on $H_{s}$ can be deduced from Figure 13.

However, from Figure 14 a dependence of the upwind/crosswind ratio on the angle may be inferred. The upwind/crosswind ratio is largest when the wind blows into the direction of the waves ( $\phi_{s}=0^{\circ}$ ) and decreases when it blows at any other angle to the waves (swell). However, in order to convert this ten-


Fig 14. Upwind/crosswind ratio of the NRCS's as a function of the angle between wind and sweil direction.

TABLE 2 Summary of the Measured Radar Cross Sections

| Date | Time, UT | Incidence Angle $\theta_{\text {, }}$ deg | $\begin{gathered} \bar{\sigma}_{,} \\ \mathrm{dB} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}, \\ & \mathrm{~dB} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{\phi} \\ & \mathrm{dB} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\boldsymbol{v}}, \\ & \mathrm{dB} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feb 9, 1984 | 1019-1038 | 18 | +2.3 |  |  |  |
|  | 1109-1127 | 35 | -122 | -100 | -110 | -14.1 |
|  | 1135-1155 | 45 | -191 | -156 | -16.3 | -21.2 |
|  | 1200-1211 | 55 | -21.6 | -189 | -19.6 | -253 |
| Feb. 10, 1984 | 0934-0954 | 18 | -19 | -0.2 | -0.5 | -4.1 |
|  | 0959-1019 | 25 | -10.7 | -8.7 | -8.8 | -127 |
|  | 1022-1042 | 35 | -19.7 | -17.1 | -17.5 | -21.5 |
|  | 1050-1103 | 45 | -24.3 | -219 | -22.6 | -27.5 |
| Feb. 20, 1984 | 1352-1411 | 18 | +2.4 | +4.4 | +3.1 | $+0.9$ |
|  | 1428-1445 | 25 | -1.8 | +0.6 | +0.0 | -44 |
|  | 1507-1524 | 35 | -8.4 | -58 | -6.6 | -108 |
|  | 1545-1558 | 45 | -14.3 | -13.3 | $\cdots$ | -188 |
|  | 1622-1631 | 55 | -16.4 | $-13.6$ | -15.0 | -185 |
| Feb 2l, 1984 | 1052-1111 | 18 | +39 | +49 | +4.3 | +3.5 |
|  | 1121-1140 | 25 | -2.8 | -1.2 | -1.6 | -3.7 |
|  | 1148-1207 | 35 | -100 | -7.5 | -86 | -11.7 |
|  | 1219-1239 | 45 | -15.3 | -129 | -14.4 | $-17.0$ |
|  | 1248-1307 | 55 | -18.2 | -15.4 | -15.6 | -20.2 |
| Feb 22, 1984 | 1041-1059 | 18 | +3.5 | +4.3 | +4.2 | +25 |
|  | 1108-1127 | 25 | -2.5 | -08 | -09 | $-4.2$ |
|  | 1148-1157 | 35 | -8.4 | -6.1 | -6.9 | $-11.5$ |
|  | 1207-1226 | 45 | -14.7 | -12.0 | - 120 | $-18.3$ |
|  | 1233-1253 | 55 | -172 | -13.9 | -146 | -23.4 |
| Feb. 26, 1984 | 1345-1405 | 18 | +25 | +3.2 | +28 | +1.7 |
|  | 1401-1420 | 25 | -54 | -4.3 | -4.9 | -6.1 |
|  | 1433-1450 | 35 | -13.9 | -128 | -13.5 | -14.7 |
|  | 1457-1516 | 45 | -21.7 | -19.8 | -208 | -23.2 |
|  | 1522-1542 | 55 | -272 | -25.2 | -26.0 | -285 |
| Feb 28, 1984 | 1006-1022 | 18 | +1.8 | +2.9 | +2.4 | +0.3 |
|  | 1044-1055 | 25 | -5.4 | -3.4 | -43 | -6.8 |
|  | 1102-1121 | 35 | -12.8 | -11.1 | -11.5 | -14.8 |
|  | 1131-1152 | 45 | -18.0 | -153 | -15.8 | -216 |
|  | 1158-1219 | 55 | -20.6 | $-17.2$ | -185 | -24.7 |

tative result into a definite one, more data points are needed

In Table 2 the results of the radar cross section measurements are summarized; $\bar{\sigma}$ denotes the normalized radar cross section (NRCS) averaged over all azimuth angles, and $\sigma_{u}, \sigma_{d}$, and $\sigma_{\varepsilon}$ the upwind, downwind, and crosswind NRCSs, respectively.

## 7 DISCUSSION

A comparison of the wind speed exponent measured in our $C$ band experiment with the wind speed exponents measured previously by other investigators with airborne scatterometers operating 0.428 GHz ( $P$ band), 1.228 GHz ( $L$ band), 8.910 GHz ( $X$ band), 10.00 GHz ( $X$ band), 13.9 GHz ( $K$ band), and 34.4 GHz ( $K_{a}$ band) is shown in Figure 15. The measurements at the first four frequencles were car-


Fig. 15. The 19.5 m wind speed exponent $\gamma$ as a function of Bragg wave number $k_{B}$ for vertical polarization and upwind direclion The open dots represent data oblained by Jones and Schroeder [1978], the crosses represent the SASS model data [Schroeder et al., 1982] and the open triangles data obtaned by Masuho et al. [1985], while the soltd dots with the error bar are our data points measured at $C$ band
ried out by the Naval Research Laboratory [Guinard et al., 1971; Daley, 1973], the measurements at 13.9 GHz by NASA Langley Research Center [Jones and Schroeder, 1978; Schroeder et al., 1982, 1984] and the ones at 10.0 GHz and 34.4 GHz by a Japanese group [Masuko et al., 1985]. In Figure 15 the wind speed exponent is plotted as a function of the Bragg wave number $k_{B}$ which is defined by $k_{B}=(4 \pi / c) f \sin \theta$.


Fig. 16 Upwind/crosswind and upwind/downwind ratios of the NRCS's as a function of Bragg wave number $k_{g}$ The open dots and crosses represent data obtaned by Jones and Schroeder [1978], while the solid dots with the error bar represent our data points measured at $C$ band

Here $c$ denotes the speed of light, $f$ the radar frequency and $\theta$ the angle between nadir and the radar antenna axis (incidence angle). The data points marked by open circles in Figure 15 are taken from the paper of Jones and Schroeder [1978], which also contains the data obtained by the Naval Research Laboratory four-frequency radar.

This figure suggests that the wind speed exponent increases with Bragg wave number. The wind speed exponent obtained from our measurements at $C$ band is 1.4 at $\theta=55^{\circ}$. The exponent measured by Schroeder et al. [1984] at $K_{u}$ band ( 13.9 GHz ) is 1.73 at $\theta=50^{\circ}$. This result implies that the planned ERS-1 scatterometer operating at $C$ band would be about $20 \%$ less sensitive than the $K_{u}$ band scatterometer flown on Seasat [\$chroeder et al, 1982].

A comparison of the upwind/crosswind and upwind/downwind ratios of the NRCS's measured in our $C$ band experiment with the ratios measured at other frequencies [Jones and Schroeder, 1978] is shown in Figure 16. Our data points at $C$ band seem to fit quite well into the curves given by Jones and Schroeder [1978]. However, it should be kept in mind that in our experiment the measured ratios exhibit a large scatter, which might be due to the influence of the ocean wave field or other environmental parameters. The curves of Figure 16 show that the upwind/crosswind ratio increases strongly, and the upwind/downwind ratio increases weakly with the Bragg wave number $k_{B}$.

The upwind/crosswind ratio of the NRCS at $C$ band is approximately 1 dB smaller than at $K_{u}$ band, and the upwind/downwind ratio at $C$ band is 0.5 dB srnaller than at $K_{u}$ band.

Our measurements clearly indicate that the NRCS is not uniquely related to the wind speed, but depends also on other environmental parameters like long wave slope. This needs further investigation.
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